


Remarks

The Examiner has rejected all of the claims on the basis of 35 USC 112 paragraph 2, based on the ambiguity of the original phrase "using conventional browser requests". This has been removed in all of the rejected independent Claims as noted above, to correct the ambiguity.

In addition, the Examiner has rejected all of the claims on 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Gramlich. The Applicant believes that the new phraseology used makes clear the distinction in the claimed invention over the teachings of Gramlich.

The reason is that Gramlich would require the creation and /or issuance of an entirely new or modified HTTP message, which in turn, would require the reworking of a conventional browser, thus making it a "non-conventional" browser. However, the Applicant's invention enables the non-modified browser to issue and respond to standard, unmodified HTTP message protocols to recognize requests for and to deliver the requested files and markups. The new language "using unmodified standard messaging protocols" clearly describes this feature of the Applicant's invention as claimed and is certainly not taught by Gramlich. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider these rejections on their merits and to withdraw them.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward H. Duffield
Attorney for Applicant

Phone: 919-254-1301; Fax: 919-254-4330
EHD:dl

S/N 09/260,247

-21-

Docket UK9-98-127